

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/839,638 | 04/20/2001 | Michael DiCuccio | P-11549.00 | 2152 |
| 7. | 590 09/10/2003 | | | |
| GIRMA WOLDE-MICHAEL MEDTRONIC, INC PATENT DEPARTMENT, LC340 710 MEDTRONIC PARKWAY | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | SAADAT, CAMERON | |
| | | | | |
| MINNEAPOLI | IS, MN 55432-9924 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3713 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/10/2003 |]/ |
| | | | | / l |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/839,638 | DICUCCIO ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Cameron Saadat | 3713 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply | ppears on the cover sheet with t | he correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu | I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply leply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ute, cause the application to become ABAND | be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | ing date of this communication, even it times | med, may reduce any | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u> | <u>2 July 2003</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ 1 | This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-15</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | l/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir | ner. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on | | oproved by the Examiner. | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in | reply to this Office action. | | | | | |
| 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | Examiner. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei | ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1 | 19(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for a lie | Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome: | stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1 | 19(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language p 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome | - · | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Infor | mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152) . | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/839,638

Art Unit: 3713

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of group III defined by claims 10-15 in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is no undue burden imposed on the examiner to examine the subject application as originally filed. This is not found persuasive because Groups I, II, and III have attained recognition in the art as a separate subject for inventive effort, and also a separate field of search is clearly required for each distinct invention. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Anderson et al. (US Patent Application Publication 2002/0137014 A1; hereinafter Anderson).

Regarding claims 10 and 14, Anderson discloses a method of designing and making a surgical instrument, the method and process comprising: creating a computer model of the surgical instrument; using the model of the surgical instrument in a surgical simulation; changing the computer model of the surgical instrument; and using the changed model in a surgical

Application/Control Number: 09/839,638

Art Unit: 3713

simulation; (as per claim 14) manufacturing the surgical instrument according to the parameters of the second version of the surgical instrument. (¶134-136; fig.1).

Regarding claims 11 and 15, Anderson discloses a method and process wherein the surgical simulation comprises haptic feedback (¶ 139).

Regarding claim 12, Anderson discloses a method further comprising defining a goal for the surgical instrument and assessing the performance of the model of the surgical instrument in achieving the goal and the performance of the changed model of the surgical instrument in achieving the goal (¶ 9 and 18).

Regarding claim 13, Anderson discloses a method further comprising comparing the assessments (¶ 134).

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - Bradbury et al. (US Patent Application Publication 2002/0007294 A1) –
 disclose a method of modeling, designing, and manufacturing medical
 devices.
 - Doi et al. (USPN 5,590,268) disclose a method of designing an operating room for a medical appliance.
 - Zelt, III (USPN 6,314,388) discloses a design tool for manufacturing metal products, including medical equipment.



'Application/Control Number: 09/839,638

Art Unit: 3713

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cameron Saadat whose telephone number is 703-305-5490. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teresa J Walberg can be reached on 703-308-1327. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

CS

Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700